



Common Fixed Point Results of Weakly Compatible Maps in G-metric Spaces

Kanayo Stella Eke^{1*}

¹*Department of Computer and Information Science-Mathematics Covenant University, Ota, Ogun State, Nigeria.*

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/BJMCS/2015/9457

Editor(s):

(1) Jaime Rangel-Mondragon, Queretaro's Institute of Technology, Mexico.

Reviewers:

(1) Anonymous, Chongqing University of Posts and Telecommunications, P.R. China.

(2) Anonymous, Umm Al-Qura University, Saudi Arabia.

Complete Peer review History: <http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history.php?iid=727&id=6&aid=6755>

Received: 11 February 2014

Accepted: 13 April 2014

Published: 04 November 2014

Original Research Article

Abstract

We prove the existence of a unique common fixed point for two weakly compatible maps satisfying ϕ - conditions in G-metric spaces. Our result extends and generalizes some results in the literature.

Keywords: Common fixed point, G-metric spaces, weakly compatible maps, weak-contraction maps.

1 Introduction and Preliminary

Frechet [1] introduced the notion of metric spaces and are widely used in fixed point theory and applications. Different authors generalized the concept of metric spaces. Eke and Olaleru [2] introduced the concept of G-partial metric spaces which generalized the G-metric spaces in the context of partial metric spaces. Authors such as Gahler [3], Dhage [4,5,6], Matthew [7] and others in the literature also generalized the notion of metric spaces. In this work we are concerned with the generalization of the notion of the metric spaces by Mustafa and Sims [8] in which a real number is assigned to every triplets of an arbitrary set. The following definitions and motivations are found in [8]:

*Corresponding author: kanayo.eke@covenantuniversity.edu.ng;

Definition 1.1: Let X be a nonempty set, and let $G : X \times X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a function satisfying:

- G_1 $G(x, y, z) = 0$ if $x = y = z$,
- G_2 $0 < G(x, x, y) \forall x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$,
- G_3 $G(x, x, y) \leq G(x, y, z) \forall x, y, z \in X$ with $z \neq y$,
- G_4 $G(x, y, z) = G(x, z, y) = G(y, z, x)$ (symmetry in all three variables),
- G_5 $G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, a, a) + G(a, y, z) \forall a, x, y, z \in X$ (rectangle inequality).

Then the function G is called a generalized metric, or more specifically a G -metric on X , and the pair (X, G) is called G -metric spaces.

Definition 1.2: Let (X, G) be a G -metric space, and let $\{x_n\}$ a sequence of points in X , a point $x' \in X$ is said to be the limit of the sequence $\{x_n\}$ if $\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} G(x, x_n, x_m) = 0$, and one says that sequence $\{x_n\}$ is G -convergent to x .

Proposition 1.3: Let (X, G) be G -metric space, then for a sequence $\{x_n\} \subseteq X$ and point $x \in X$ the following are equivalent:

- (i) $\{x_n\}$ is G -convergent to x .
- (ii) $G(x_n, x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$
- (iii) $G(x_n, x, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$
- (iv) $G(x_m, x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$

Proposition 1.4: In a G -metric space (X, G) , the following are equivalent;

- (i) The sequence $\{x_n\}$ is a G -Cauchy sequence.
- (ii) For every $\varepsilon > 0$, $\exists n \in \mathbb{N} \ni G(x_n, x_m, x_m) < \varepsilon, \forall n, m \geq N$.

Definition 1.5: A G -metric space (X, G) is said to be G -complete if every G -Cauchy sequence in (X, G) is G -convergent in (X, G) .

Banach contraction map is the basis of all other contractive maps. This map is used to establish the existence of unique fixed points for certain contraction maps defined in metric spaces and its generalizations. The existence of the fixed point for Banach contraction map was proved by Matthew [9] in partial metric spaces. Mustafa et al. [10] proved the existence of unique fixed points and common fixed points for certain contractive maps in G -metric spaces.

The concept of weak contraction was introduced by Alber and Guerre-Delabriere [11] in Hilbert space. Rhoades [12] gives a corresponding definition in metric spaces as:

A mapping $T: X \rightarrow X$, where (X, d) is a metric space is said to be weakly contractive if

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq d(x, y) - \phi(d(x, y)), \quad (1)$$

where $x, y \in X$ and $\phi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is continuous and nondecreasing function such that $\phi(t) = 0$ if and only if $t = 0$.

Aage and Salunke [13] and Shatanawed [14] proved the existence of a unique fixed point for weak contraction maps defined on G-metric spaces. The result of Aage and Salunke [13] is stated as follows:

Theorem 1.6 [13]: Let (X, G) be a complete G-metric space and let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be mappings satisfying:

$$G(Tx, Ty, Tz) \leq G(x, y, z) - \phi(G(x, y, z)), \quad (2)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. If $\phi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is continuous and nondecreasing mapping with $\phi^{-1}(0) = 0$, $\phi(t) > 0$ for all $t \in (0, \infty)$. Then T has a unique fixed point in X .

For the fact that two maps have to commute at a point before their common fixed points can be established led to the development of some commutative maps (see. [15,16,17,18]) in which weakly compatible maps are not left out and is defined below as:

Definition 1.7 [16]: A point $x \in X$ is called a coincidence point of a pair of self maps S, T if there exist a point w (called a point of coincidence) in X such that $w = Sx = Tx$. Self maps S and T are said to be weakly compatible if they commute at their coincidence points, that is if $Sx = Tx$ for some $x \in X$, then $STx = TSx$.

Some authors had used the concept of weakly compatibility in proving the common fixed points of two maps in metric spaces: see ([18,19,20,21]).

In this work, we prove the common fixed point of two weakly compatible maps satisfying some weak contractive conditions in G-metric spaces. Our result generalizes the results of Aage and Salunke [13].

2 Results and Discussion

Theorem 2.1: Let (X, G) be G-metric spaces and Y a nonempty subset of X . Let $T, S: Y \rightarrow X$ be mappings satisfying:

$$G(Tx, Ty, Tz) \leq G(Sx, Sy, Sz) - \phi(G(Sx, Sy, Sz)) \quad (3)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. If $\phi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is continuous and nonincreasing function with $\phi^{-1}(0) = 0$, $\phi(t) > 0$ for all $t \in (0, \infty)$. Suppose that T and S are weakly compatible with $T(Y) \subseteq S(Y)$. If $T(Y)$ or $S(Y)$ is a complete subspace of X , then the mappings T and S have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof: Let $x_0 \in X$ be arbitrary. choose $x_1 \in X$ such that $Tx_0 = Sx_1$. Continuing this process, we can define the sequence $\{x_n\}$ by $Tx_n = Sx_{n+1}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose $Tx_n = Tx_{n-1}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then we have $Tx_n = Sx_n$. Therefore $\{Tx_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. We assume that $Ty = Tz$ in (3) and $Tx_n \neq Tx_{n-1} \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. From (3), we have

$$G(Tx_{\{n-1\}}, Tx_n, Tx_n) \leq G(Sx_{\{n-1\}}, Sx_n, Sx_n) - \phi(G(Sx_{\{n-1\}}, S_n, S_n)) \quad (4)$$

By property of ϕ , (4) gives

$$G(Tx_{\{n-1\}}, Tx_n, Tx_n) \leq G(Sx_{\{n-1\}}, Sx_n, Sx_n) \quad (5)$$

Similarly,

$$G(Sx_{\{n-1\}}, Sx_n, Sx_n) = G(Tx_{\{n-2\}}, Tx_{\{n-1\}}, Tx_{\{n-1\}}) \leq G(Sx_{\{n-2\}}, Sx_{\{n-1\}}, Sx_{\{n-1\}}). \quad (6)$$

From (5) and (6), this shows that $G(Tx_{\{n-1\}}, Tx_n, Tx_n)$ is monotone decreasing and consequently there exists $K \geq 0$ such that

$$G(Tx_{\{n-1\}}, Tx_n, Tx_n) \rightarrow K \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (7)$$

Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (4), we obtain

$$K \leq K - \phi(K). \quad (8)$$

This is a contradiction, unless $K = 0$. Hence

$$G(Tx_{\{n-1\}}, Tx_n, Tx_n) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (9)$$

Now we show that $\{Tx_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Suppose $\{Tx_n\}$ is not a Cauchy sequence, then $\exists \epsilon > 0$ for which we can find subsequence

$\{Tx_{n(k)}\}$ and $\{Tx_{m(k)}\}$ of $\{Tx_n\}$ with $m(k) > n(k)$ such that

$$G(Tx_{n(k)}, Tx_{m(k)}, Tx_{m(k)}) \geq \varepsilon. \tag{10}$$

Now,

$$G(Tx_{n(k)-1}, Tx_{n(k)}, Tx_{n(k)}) = G(Sx_{n(k)}, Sx_{n(k)+1}, Sx_{n(k)+1}).$$

This implies that,

$$G(Sx_{n(k)}, Sx_{m(k)}, Sx_{m(k)}) \leq G(Sx_{n(k)}, Sx_{n(k)+1}, Sx_{n(k)+1}) + G(Sx_{n(k)+1}, Sx_{n(k)+2}, Sx_{n(k)+2}) + G(Sx_{n(k)+2}, Sx_{m(k)}, Sx_{m(k)}).$$

Setting $K \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequalities and using (9) we have,

$$\lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} G(Sx_{n(k)}, Sx_{m(k)}, Sx_{m(k)}) = 0. \tag{11}$$

From (3) and (10) we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &\leq G(Tx_{n(k)}, Tx_{m(k)}, Tx_{m(k)}) \\ &\leq G(Sx_{n(k)}, Sx_{m(k)}, Sx_{m(k)}) - \phi(G(Sx_{n(k)}, Sx_{m(k)}, Sx_{m(k)})) \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon - \phi(\varepsilon) \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Clearly it is a contradiction since $\varepsilon > 0$. We must have $\varepsilon = 0$. This shows that $\{Tx_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X. Since T(Y) or S(Y) is a complete subspace of X and for the fact that $T(Y) \subseteq S(Y)$, there exists a $z \in T(Y)$ such that $Sx_n \rightarrow z$ and $Tx_n \rightarrow z$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, hence there is $x \in X$ such that $Sx = z$.

From (3) we get,

$$\begin{aligned} G(Tx, z, z) &\leq G(Tx, Tx_n, Tx_n) + G(Tx_n, z, z) \\ &\leq G(Sx, Sx_n, Sx_n) - \phi(G(Sx, Sx_n, Sx_n)) + G(Tx_n, z, z) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

But $G(Tx, z, z) \geq 0$. This implies that $Tx = z$. Thus $Sx = Tx = z$ and we have that z is a point of coincidence of S and T.

Next we show that the point of coincidence is unique. Suppose there is another point of coincidence p , and there is a coincidence point $q \in X$ such that $p = Tq = Sq$. Then by (1) we have,

$$G(z, p, p) = G(Tx, Tq, Tq) \leq G(Sx, Sq, Sq) - \phi(G(Sx, Sq, Sq)).$$

By property of ϕ , this is a contradiction if $G(z, p, p) > 0$. Hence we have a unique point of coincidence. Since S, T are weakly compatible, then $TSx = STx$ and $Tz = Sz$. Therefore z is a coincidence point of S, T and since the point of coincidence is unique, that is $z = p$. Hence $Sz = Tz = z$, therefore z is the unique common fixed point of S, T and the proof is complete.

Remarks 2.2: If $S = T$ in theorem 2.1 then we have corollary 2.2. Therefore theorem 2.1 is a generalization of theorem 2.1 of Aage and Salunke [13].

Corollary 2.3 [12]: Let (X, G) be complete G-metric space and let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping satisfying;

$$G(Tx, Ty, Tz) \leq G(x, y, z) - \phi(G(x, y, z))$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. If $\phi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is continuous and increasing function with $\phi^{-1}(0) = 0$, $\phi(t) > 0 \forall t \in (0, \infty)$, then T has a unique fixed point in X .

Example 2.4: Let $X = [0, 1]$ and $G(x, y, z) = |x - y| + |y - z| + |z - x|$ be a G-metric on X .

Define $S, T: X \rightarrow X$ by $Tx = \frac{x}{2}$ and $Sx = \frac{5x}{3}$ with $\phi(t) = \frac{t}{2} \forall t > 0$.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} G(Tx, Ty, Tz) &= \left| \frac{x}{2} - \frac{y}{2} \right| + \left| \frac{y}{2} - \frac{z}{2} \right| + \left| \frac{z}{2} - \frac{x}{2} \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (|x - y| + |y - z| + |z - x|). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} G(Sx, Sy, Sz) &= \left| \frac{5x}{3} - \frac{5y}{3} \right| + \left| \frac{5y}{3} - \frac{5z}{3} \right| + \left| \frac{5z}{3} - \frac{5x}{3} \right| \\ &= \frac{5}{3} (|x - y| + |y - z| + |z - x|). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$G(Tx, Ty, Tz) \leq G(Sx, Sy, Sz) - \phi(G(Sx, Sy, Sz)).$$

The common fixed point of S and T is equal to zero and is unique.

3 Conclusion

The existence and uniqueness of the common fixed point for a pair of weakly compatible mappings satisfying the weak – contraction conditions in a G-metric space is proved.

Acknowledgements

The author is grateful to Prof. J. O. Olaleru for helpful comments and supervision. I thank the reviewers for their helpful comments and suggestions that make this article more valuable.

Competing Interests

Author has declared that no competing interests exist.

References

- [1] Frechet M. Sur quelques points du Calcul Fontionnel, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo. 1906;22:1-74.
- [2] Eke KS, Olaleru JO. Some fixed point results on ordered G-partial metric spaces. ICASTOR Journal of Mathematical Sciences. 2013;7(1):65-78.
- [3] Gahler S. 2-metriche raume und ihre topologische structure. Math Nachr. 1963;26:115-148.
- [4] Dhage BC. Generalised metric space and mapping with fixed point. Bull Cal Math Soc. 1992;84:329-336.
- [5] Dhage BC. Generalised metric space and topological structure 1. An Stiint Univ. Al.I. Cuza Iasi, Mat (N.S). 2000;46:3-24.
- [6] Dhage BC. Continuity of mappings in D-metric spaces. Bull Cal Mat Soc. 1994;86:503-508.
- [7] Matthews SG. Partial metric spaces, 8th british colloquium for theoretical computer science. In Research Report 212, Dept. of Computer Science, University of Warwick. 1992;708-718.
- [8] Mustafa Z, Sims B. A new approach to generalised metric spaces. Journal of Nonlinear and Convex Analysis. 2006;7(2):289-297.
- [9] Matthews SG. Partial Metric Topology, in Proceedings of the 11th Summer Conference on General Topology and Applications. The New York Academy of Sciences, Gorham, Me, USA. 1995;728:183-197.
- [10] Mustafa Z, Sims B. Fixed point theorems for contractive mappings in complete G-metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory and Applications Article ID 017175. 2009;10.

- [11] Ya. I. Alber, Guerre-Delabriere S. Principles of weakly contractive maps in Hilbert spaces, in: I. Gohberg. Yu. Lyubich (Eds), *New Results in Operator Theory*, in: *Advances and Appl Birkhuser*, Basel. 1997;98:7-22.
- [12] Rhoades BE. Some theorems on weakly contractive maps. *Nonlinear Anal.* 2001;47:2683-2693.
- [13] Aage CA, Salunke JN. Fixed points for weak contraction in G-metric spaces. *Applied Mathematics E-Note.* 2011;12:23-28.
- [14] Shatanawed W. Fixed point theory for contractive mappings satisfying ϕ -maps in G-metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*; 2010. Article ID 181650, 9 pages.
- [15] Das KM, Viswanatha Naik K. Common fixed point theorems for commuting maps on a metric space. *American Mathematical Society.* 1979;77:3.
- [16] Jungck G. Compatible mappings and common fixed points. *J Math and Math Sci.* 1986;9(4):771-779.
- [17] Jungck G. Commuting mappings and fixed points. *Amer Math Monthly.* 1976;83:261-263.
- [18] Sessa S. On a weak commutativity condition of mappings in fixed point considerations. *Nouvelle Serie Tome.* 1982;32(46):149-153.
- [19] Olaleru JO. Common fixed points of three self-mappings in cone metric spaces. *Applied Mathematics E-Notes.* 2011;11:41-49.
- [20] Olaleru JO. Approximation of common fixed points of weakly compatible pairs using Jungck iteration. *Applied Mathematics and Computation.* 2011;217:8425-8431.
- [21] Olaleru JO, Akwe H. The convergence of Jungck-type iterative scheme for generalised contractive-like operators. *Fasciculi Mathematici.* 2010;45.

© 2015 Stella Eke; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here (Please copy paste the total link in your browser address bar)

www.sciencedomain.org/review-history.php?iid=727&id=6&aid=6755