

Sustainable ICT Adoption and Integration for Socio– Economic Development

Charles K. Ayo
Covenant University, Nigeria

Victor Mbarika
Southern University, A & M College, USA

A volume in the Advances in
Electronic Government, Digital
Divide, and Regional Development
(AEGDDRD) Book Series



www.igi-global.com

Published in the United States of America by
IGI Global
Information Science Reference (an imprint of IGI Global)
701 E. Chocolate Avenue
Hershey PA, USA 17033
Tel: 717-533-8845
Fax: 717-533-8661
E-mail: cust@igi-global.com
Web site: <http://www.igi-global.com>

Copyright © 2017 by IGI Global. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored or distributed in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, without written permission from the publisher.
Product or company names used in this set are for identification purposes only. Inclusion of the names of the products or companies does not indicate a claim of ownership by IGI Global of the trademark or registered trademark.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Ayo, Charles K., 1958- editor. | Mbarika, Victor W. A. (Victor Wacham A.), editor.
Title: Sustainable ICT adoption and integration for socio-economic development / Charles K. Ayo and Victor Mbarika, editors.
Description: Hershey, PA : Information Science Reference, [2017]
Identifiers: LCCN 2017005921 | ISBN 9781522525653 (hardcover) | ISBN 9781522525660 (ebook)
Subjects: LCSH: Internet in public administration--Developing countries. | Internet in education--Developing countries. | Information technology--Economic aspects--Developing countries. | Information technology--Social aspects--Developing countries.
Classification: LCC JF1525.A8 S92 2017 | DDC 303.48/33091724--dc23 LC record available at <https://lccn.loc.gov/2017005921>

This book is published in the IGI Global book series Advances in Electronic Government, Digital Divide, and Regional Development (AEGDDRD) (ISSN: 2326-9103; eISSN: 2326-9111)

British Cataloguing in Publication Data

A Cataloguing in Publication record for this book is available from the British Library.

All work contributed to this book is new, previously-unpublished material.
The views expressed in this book are those of the authors, but not necessarily of the publisher.

For electronic access to this publication, please contact: eresources@igi-global.com.

Chapter 3

Development of an Inclusive Participatory Democracy System

Aderonke A. Oni

Covenant University, Nigeria

Charles K. Ayo

Covenant University, Nigeria

Ambrose A. Azeta

Covenant University, Nigeria

ABSTRACT

Barriers such as unequal access, lack of digital skill, low income and disability constitute limiting factors for technology-mediated citizens and government interaction in developing countries. It is against this backdrop that this work explores the integration of Voice, Web and SMS technologies, in Nigeria's democratic process. The proposed system takes advantage of the ubiquitous nature of mobile devices to explore the plausibility of increasing the level of citizens' participation in democratic practices, particularly, those in rural areas with no Internet access and the physically challenged electorates. The server module for the e-democracy system was developed in PHP. Ozeki SMS server and Voxeo Voice server were used for SMS transaction code and VoiceXML code respectively. The prototype e-democracy system shows that developing nations can take advantage of their present level of technological development to give voice to the voiceless and improve their democratic system.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-2565-3.ch003

INTRODUCTION

The Global public management efforts since the 1980s have been revolutionizing the relationship between citizens and the State. The revolution focuses on governments being more responsive to the populace and requires new strategies to rebuild the relationship between citizens and governments and to promote more citizen participation in public administration (Kettl, 2005; Oni, et al., 2014). The use of Information and Communication Technology (ICT) in enhancing citizens' political participation has been identified as key to the revolution and a solution to the problems of representative democracy, particularly, the disconnection between representatives and citizens and the decline of political interest amongst the populace (Kang & Dugdale, 2010; Azeta, et al., 2015). E-participation initiatives have served as means for two-way communication between government and citizens. To the government, it serves as information provisioning tools and to the citizens as an avenue to voice their opinions, deliberate on policy issues, and give viable contributions to policy options. ICT has been persuasively argued to play a key developmental role in developing countries (Sahay & Avgerou, 2002; LeBlanc, et al., 2004). Leveraging on the capability of the internet and mobile technology, e-participation has the potential of creating new forms of engagement, deliberation, and collaboration in the political process to make democratic processes more inclusive and transparent (Coleman & Gotze, 2001; OECD, 2003b; Shirazi, et al., 2010). It has the potential to transform uncompetitive industries and dysfunctional public administration and to provide unprecedented opportunities for the information-intensive social services (Sahay & Avgerou, 2008).

Most countries have leveraged on ICT tools to foster new relationships between citizens and the State in order to alleviate the crisis of democratic legitimacy. The use of ICT is therefore, capable of bridging the disconnect between citizens and their representatives. Contrarily however, in developing countries such as Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) countries, e-democracy implementation is still in its introductory stage, though most governments have embarked on e-government implementation strategies. In these countries, e-participation in democracy is mostly centered on private organizations' initiative. Considering the prevailing challenges of poor governance, lack of accountability and transparency, these governments mostly need to join their world counterpart in promoting citizens participation public decision making and explore the capability to put in place adequate infrastructure for e-democracy implementation.

After the start of the democratic era in Nigeria and the election of a democratic government dated as early as the 1990's till now, the elected government formed the National Empowerment and Development Strategy (NEEDS), the National e-Government Strategy (NeGST) and the National IT policy. Among others, the

Development of an Inclusive Participatory Democracy System

Azeta, A., Azeta, V., Olaniyan, O., Azeta, A., & Ayeni, A. G. (2015). Implementing an E-Democracy System in Nigeria. *Journal of Resources Development and Management*, 4, 1–11.

Chen, D., Huang, T., & Hsiao, N. (2006). Reinventing Government Through On-Line Citizen Involvement in the Developing World: A Case Study Of Taipei City Mayors E-Mail Box In Taiwan. *Public Administration and Development*, 26(5), 409–423. doi:10.1002/pad.415

Clift, S. (2003). *E-Democracy, E-Governance and Public Net-Work*. Retrieved Jan., 2012 www.publicus.net/articles/edempublikenetwork.html

Clift, S. L. (2004). *E-government and Democracy: Representation and Citizen Engagement in the Information Age*. Retrieved Nov., 2010 from <http://www.publicus.net>

Coleman, S., & Gotze, J. (2001). *Bowling Together: Online Public Engagement in Policy Deliberation*. Hansard Society. Retrieved 8th Oct., 2010 from www.hansardsociety.org.uk

Coleman, S. & Norris, D. F. (2005, January). *A new agenda for e-democracy*. Oxford Internet Institute Forum Discussion University of Oxford, UK.

Funilkul, S., & Chutimaskul, W. (2009). The Framework for Sustainable eDemocracy Development, *Transforming People. Process and Policy*, 3(1), 16–31.

Internet World Stats. (n.d.). *Usage and Population Statistics*. Retrieved from <http://www.internetworldstats.com/stat1.htm/Africa>

Jahanbakhsh, F. (2001). *Islam, Democracy and Religious Modernism in Iran, 1953-2000: From Bazargan to Soroush*. Leiden, The Netherlands: Brill.

Kang, H., & Dugdale, A. (2010). A Comparative Study of e-Civil Participation in Australia and South Korea: The Case Study of GetUp! in Australia and the 2008 Candlelight Protest in South Korea, *Proceedings of 6th International Conference on e-government: Academic Conference International*.

Kettl, D. F. (2005). *The Global Public Management Revolution* (2nd ed.). Washington, DC: Brookings Institution Press.

LeBlanc, P., Mbarika, V., McCoy, S., & Meso, P. (2004). TeleDemocracy in developing countries: a focus on Sub-Saharan Africa. *Proceedings of the 2004 Information Resource Management Association (IRMA 2004)*.

Macintosh, A., & Whyte, A. (2008). Towards an evaluation framework for eParticipation. *Transforming Government: People, Process & Policy*, 2(1), 16–30.

Nigerian Communications Commission. (2015). *Subscriber Statistics*. Accessed July 7, 2015 from <http://www.ncc.gov.ng>

Nigerian National Information Technology Development Agency (NITDA). (2001). *National Policy for Information Technology*. Retrieved from http://portal.unesco.org/en/files/3107/1023717285_nigeriaitpolicy.pdf/nigeriaitpolicy.pdf

OECD. (2001). *Citizens as Partners Information, Consultation and Public participation in Policy-Making*. Retrieved Dec, 2010 from www.ezd.si/fileadmin/doc/4.../Citizens_as_partners_hanbook_oecd.pdf

OECD. (2003a). *Engaging Citizens Online for Better Policy-making*. Retrieved Dec., 2010 from www.oecd.org/dataoecd/62/23/2501856.pdf

OECD. (2003b). *Promise and Problems of E-Democracy: Challenges of Online Citizen Engagement*. Retrieved Dec., 2010 from www.oecd.org/dataoecd/9/11/35176328.pdf

Oni, A. A., Ayo, C. K., Mbarika, V. M., Gberevbie, D. E., & Folarin, S. F. (2014). E-democracy Implementation: The Imperative of Agenda Setting. *Proceedings of 14th European Conference on E-Government*.

Rheingold, H. (2000). *The Virtual Community*. The MIT Press.

Rodousakis, N., & Mendes dos Santos, A. (2008). The development of inclusive e-government in Austria and Portugal: A Comparison of Two Success Stories. *The European Journal of Social Science Research*, 21(4).

Sahay, S., & Avgerou, C. (2002). Introducing the Special Issue on Information and Communication Technologies in Developing Countries. *The Information Society*, 18(2), 73–76.

Schumpeter, J. (1976). *Capitalism, Socialism and Democracy* (5th ed.). London: George Allen & Unwin Ltd.

Shirazi, F., Ngwenyama, O., & Morawczynski, O. (2010). ICT expansion and the digital divide in democratic freedoms: An analysis of the Impact of ICT Expansion, Education and ICT Filtering on Democracy. *Telematics and Informatics*, 27(1), 21–31. doi:10.1016/j.tele.2009.05.001

Smith, B. L. (2003). *Public Policy and Public Participation Engaging Citizens and Community in the Development of Public Policy*. Retrieved Sept., 2010 from http://www.phac-aspc.gc.ca/canada/regions/atlantic/pdf/pub_policy_partic_e.pdf

Development of an Inclusive Participatory Democracy System

UN E-Government Survey. (2008). *From E-Government to Connected Governance*. Accessed Jan, 2011 from <http://unpan1.un.org/intradoc/groups/public/documents/un/unpan028607.pdf>

Walker, M., Litman, D., Kamm, C., & Abella, A. (1997). PARADISE: A framework for evaluating spoken dialogue agents. *Proceedings of the 35th Annual Meeting of the Association of Computational Linguistics*. doi:10.3115/976909.979652

KEY TERMS AND DEFINITIONS

Electronic Democracy (E-Democracy): Anything that governments do to facilitate greater participation in government and enhance effective governance using digital or electronic means.

Electronic Participation (E-Participation): The use of internet and mobile technologies to enhance democratic governance.

Inclusive Political Participation: Government and citizens political interaction that does not exclude any particular groups of people.

Information and Communication Technology (ICT): Collection of devices, protocols, procedures and networks employed in the information technology fields to foster interaction among different stakeholders.

Participation: Taking part in joint activities for the purpose of reaching a common goal i.e. direct public participation in political activities.